

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DAVID LEVOYD REED,

Plaintiff,

V.

JAMES DZURENDÁ, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-00172-ART-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 133]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to extend his copy work limit. Docket No.

14 133. The Court does not require a response. The motion is properly resolved without a hearing.

15 | See Local Rule 78-1.

16 An inmate has no constitutional right to free photocopying. *Johnson v. Moore*, 948 F.2d
17 517, 521 (9th Cir. 1991). Pursuant to NDOC regulations, inmates can accrue a maximum of \$100
18 debt for copy work expenses for all cases. *See, e.g., Weddle v. Baker*, 2014 U.S. Dist. Lexis
19 151674, *3 (D. Nev. Oct. 27, 2014). “In this district, courts have found that they can order a prison
20 to extend limited photocopying when it is necessary for an inmate to provide copies to the Court
21 and other parties.” *Id.* (citing *Allen v. Clark Cnty. Det. Ctr.*, 2011 U.S. Dist. Lexis 31756 (D. Nev.
22 Mar. 11, 2011)).

23 Plaintiff requests “a reasonable allowance of copy work for documents” because his
24 grounds have merit. Docket No. 133 at 2. Plaintiff fails to explain what those merits are. Further,
25 Plaintiff references this case as the “the instant habeas corpus proceedings,” submits “he is entitled
26 to relief in the instant habeas proceedings,” and submits that he should be provided with an
27 extension so he can fairly litigate “this habeas action.” Docket No. 133 at 1-2. The instant action
28 is about Defendants’ alleged use of excessive force. Docket No. 95 at 8.

1 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is **DENIED** without prejudice. Docket No. 133.
2
3 IT IS SO ORDERED.
4
5 Dated: June 5, 2025
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge